

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/644,884	08/21/2003	Donald T. Cameron	20003.0074	6865
23517 7	590 11/18/2004		EXAMINER	
	ERLIN SHEREFF FRI	ELLINGTON	ELLINGTON, ALANDRA	
3000 K STREI BOX IP	ET, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	N, DC 20007		2855	

DATE MAILED: 11/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			- W		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/644,884	CAMERON, DONAL	D T.		
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Alandra Ellington	2855			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence addi	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 12 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). 	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The appropriate or the final (opriate extension Office action; or		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sin	nplifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without canceliNOTE: .	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims	S.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s)·				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		eparate, timely filed a	amendment		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT	Fplace the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.		o issues which were	newly		
 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we 			nd an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	outa de rejectou la provideu delle	т ст арропаса.			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
9.⊠ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen					
10. Other:		-	•		
10 Onlor	M	13			
	SUPERVISO	vrd l efkowitz Ry patent examinei ogy center 2800	R		

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Due to the relative definition of "opposing relation", the Examiner believes that Muldoon (5,421,098) discloses two members (73,76) that are on two different sides and appears to be opposite one another.